Prevalence of work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Medical Laboratory Professionals in North Kerala Population: A Cross-Sectional Survey Method

Deepna.K*, Jeena M.V¹

1. Assistant professor, Physiotherapy department, Cooperative institute of health sciences Thalasseri, kannur, Kerala. Affiliation to Kerala University of health sciences, trissur, Kerala.

***Correspondence to:** Deepna. K, Dissertation Submitted to the Kerala University of Health Sciences, Thrissur, Kerala.

Copyright

© 2024 **Deepna. K.** This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 16 January 2024 Published: 02 February 2024 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 10848214

Abstract

Background: Work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WRMDs) have been widely identified as a major problem affecting the work force. However, data on WRMDs in medical laboratory workers in North Kerala Population. The aim of the study is to evaluate the frequency of WRMSDs in medical laboratory population. Many a person, across the globe suffer from occupational related musculoskeletal problems. This study tries to find out the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal problems seen in medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala Population.

Objective: To find out the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala Population.

Method: 300 Medical laboratory professionals were selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. The entire laboratory workers were given a validated Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal questionnaire (SNMQ). From the outcome of the questionnaire, we obtained the percentage of work-related musculoskeletal injuries among medical laboratory workers from North Kerala population.

Results and Discussion: The high annual prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was found on the neck region (82%), followed by lower back (64%). The other areas were found to be in less compared to neck and lower back. It was around shoulder (36%), Elbow (16%), wrist/ hands (15%), Upper back (35%), one or both hips (8%), one or both knees (9%) and Ankles/feet (7%). The high weekly prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was found on the neck region (51.66%), followed by lower back (32.33%). The other areas were found to be in less compared to neck and lower back. It was around shoulder (6.66%), Elbow (0%), wrist/ hands (0%), Upper back (3.66%), one or both hips (0%), one or both knees (0%) and Ankles/feet (0%).

Conclusion: The study concluded that high prevalence of WRMDs among medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala Population with the neck and lower back most commonly affected irrespective of medical laboratory workers.

Key words: Prevalence, Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders, Medical Laboratory Workers, Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire.

Introduction

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are a significant cause of physical limitation affecting humans around the globe. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders involve isolated or multiple problems in muscles, tendons, joint tissue, nerves, fascia and ligaments, with or without tissue degeneration, caused by work [1]. MSDs can cause severe physical impairment, pain, and can greatly alter the psychosocial status of the affected individuals. In 2016, The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study reported that MSDs were the second most common cause of disability worldwide, with back pain as the leading cause. Approximately 71.1% of people worldwide live with a musculoskeletal condition.

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines MSDs as "multifactorial, with work contributing significantly, though not exclusively, to causing the disease". Work- related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSDs) can result from or be worsened by recurrent, vigorous, or prolonged work activities with inadequate recuperation. Clinical laboratory employees work long hours in a confined seated or standing position. Their work involves continuous repetitive hand movements, such as during pipetting this is of major concern. The important risk factors of hand/wrist injuries include frequent movement of the arm or wrist, motions that require extremes of hand or arm position, and sustained static postures, and vibration [2].

WMSDs are also reported to cause lost work time or absenteeism, increase work restriction, transfer to another job, or disability than any other group of diseases with a considerable economic toll on the individual, the organization and the society as a whole. Findings of scientific research have identified physical, psychosocial/organizational, and individual occupational "risk factors" for the development of WMSDs [3]. Most common body regions affected with MSDs are the low back, neck, shoulder, forearm, and hand. The risk factors associated with MSDs include forceful exertions, repetitive movements, awkward, and/or sustained postures such as prolonged sitting and standing. Globally, MSDs are one of the most common work-related illnesses and causing significant economic burden in terms of lost wages, treatment, and compensation and also responsible for considerable impact on the quality of life [4].

World Health Organization (WHO) attributes a multifactorial aetiology to WMSDs, these disorders seem as consequence of the worker exposure to the different number of work-related risk factors. A risk factor is any source/situation with the potential to cause injury or lead to the development of a disease. In order to measure WMSDs there are three groups of risk factors. These are: a) Physical factors (Ergonomics): involving sustained or awkward postures, repetition of the same movements, forceful exertions, hand-arm

Deepna. K, MAR Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychology (2024) 8:1

vibration, all-body vibration, mechanical compression, and cold. b) Psychosocial factors (Work Stress): involving work pace, autonomy, monotony, work/rest cycle, task demands, social support from colleagues and management and job uncertainty. c) Individual factors: involving age, gender, professional activities/skills, sports activities (workers' fitness), domestic activities, recreational activities, alcohol/tobacco consumption and, previous WMSD [5].

Work-related musculoskeletal problems impact everyday function, working ability, and quality of life. Unaddressed musculoskeletal problems can lead to major injury and loss of function, contributing to participation restrictions, economic loss and the increasing burden of disease worldwide. Workplace laboratory tasks often require repetitive, fine task precision, static, sustained and awkward postures which increase musculoskeletal injury risk. Looking through a microscope requires keeping the head in the same exact position for long period. This type of posture especially strains the neck muscles. Depending upon the type of microscope and sample, work can be either standing or sitting. Sitting or standing for long periods of time strains the muscles and cause discomfort. Work with a microscope often requires forward or side abduction movements of the upper extremities in order for the adjustment knobs to be used. Such kind of occupations which require maintaining static postures for long hours put increase load or forces on the muscles and tendons which contributes to fatigue [6].

Medical laboratory professionals are exposed to number of risk factors in the workplace for musculoskeletal disorders such as back and shoulder injuries and even other joints and muscles exertion, which are aggravated or prolonged by work conditions [7]. Awkward posture while looking through microscope eyepieces causes people to lean forward, away from the back of the chair so the head, upper and lower back are all inclined beyond acceptable limits leading to back and neck pain.

The Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaire is adopted in this study to assess self- reported musculoskeletal complaints with respect to nine body part areas such as neck, shoulder, elbows, wrist\hands, hips, knee, lower back, upper back, ankles/feet. The occurrence of these symptoms over the past week (weekly prevalence) and over the past year (annual prevalence) were noted [8,9].

Therefore, the aim of the study is to evaluate the frequency of WRMSDs in medical laboratory population. Now a days the prevalence of musculoskeletal problems is increased due to physiological and mechanical causes. Many a person, across the globe suffer from occupational related musculoskeletal problems. This study tries to find out the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal problems seen in medical laboratory professionals.

Objectives

Objective

To find out the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala Population.

Hypothesis

Null Hypothesis(H₀)

There will be no significant difference in the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala Population.

Alternative Hypothesis(H₁)

There will be significant difference in the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala population.

Methodology

Research Question

Is there any prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala population?

Study Design

Cross-sectional Survey method.

Study Setting

The study was conducted among medical laboratory professionals from various work places that are Kozhikode, Malappuram, Kannur, Kasaragod, Wayanad districts from North Kerala population.

Sampling

Sample Size: 300 samples were selected who were satisfying both inclusion and exclusion criteria. In the clinical practitioners were taken.

Study Duration: 2 months

Inclusion Criteria:

- Medical laboratory professionals only clinical practitioners.
- Should have at least 2 years working experience.
- Both males and females.
- Age group between 25-35 years.
- Pain and any discomfort for one or more week.
- Those who work more than nine hours.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Previous pathological condition.
- History of any surgery.
- Any recent fractures, trauma
- Post-partum females within one year.

Sampling Procedure:

> Sample Design:

Simple Random Sampling

Study Procedure:

300 Medical laboratory professionals were selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. The entire laboratory workers were given a validated Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal questionnaire (SNMQ). From the outcome of the questionnaire, we obtained the percentage of work-related musculoskeletal injuries among medical laboratory workers from North Kerala population.

Materials

- Pen
- Pencil
- Record sheet
- Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (SNMQ) used for screening of musculoskeletal discomfort.

Methods Including

Method Of Data Collection:

- Questionnaire method Outcome Measurement:
- Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire

Funding

Self-funding

Results

Techniques of Data Analysis and Interpretation

Statistical Tool

SPSS21.0 software was used to find out the statistics mentioned below

1. Arithmetic Mean

$$x = \frac{\Sigma x}{N}$$

Where- \overline{x} = Arithmetic Mean

 $\sum x =$ Sum of the variables

N = Total number of variables

2. Standard deviation

$$S \cdot D = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma(x - \tilde{y}^2)}{N}}$$

Where, x= the individual score

 \overline{x} = the Mean score

N = Total number of scores

Analysis of Demographic Data of Medical Laboratory Professionals

	GENDER		
AGE (In years)	MALES (N)	FEMALES (N)	PROFESSION
25-35	127	173	MEDICAL LABORATORY WORKERS (CLINICAL PRACTITIONERS)

N=300

Table no:1

Interpretation: The above table shows the demographic data of medical laboratory workers: age between 25-35 years, number of males (127) and number of females (173) were participated in this study, and sample taken from medical laboratory workers who were working as a clinical practitioner in north Kerala districts.



Graph 1: Gender Difference in both Male and Female Medical Laboratory Workers

Interpretation: Here the above diagram shows the gender difference between 127 males and 173 females participated in this study.

Analysis of Descriptive Data

Descriptive Statistics of Males

					Std.
	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Deviation
AGE	127	25.00	35.00	29.77	0.935
HEIGHT	127	150	166	158.40	5.245
WEIGHT	127	46	66	57.07	4.631

Table no:2

Interpretation: Above table show the mean age, height, and weight of the 127 male medical laboratory workers participated in this study are 29.77 years, 158.40 cms, 57.07 kgs, respectively.

					Std.
	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Deviation
AGE	173	25.00	35.00	25.84	1.192
HEIGHT	173	150	166	158.64	5.436
WEIGHT	173	47	66	57.30	4.241

Descriptive Statistics of Females

Table no:3

Interpretation: Above table shows the age, height, and weight of the 173 female medical laboratory workers participated in this study are 25.84 years, 158.64 cms, 57.30 kgs, respectively.





Interpretation: The above diagram shows the mean age of male medical laboratory workers were 29.77 years and female medical laboratory workers were 25.84 years.



Graph 3: Mean Height of both Male and Female Medical Laboratory

Interpretation: The above diagram shows the Mean height of male medical laboratory workers: 158.4cms and female medical laboratory workers: 158.64cms



Graph 4: Mean Weight of both Male and Female Medical Laboratory Workers

Interpretation: The above diagram shows the mean weight of male medical laboratory workers: 57.07kgs and female medical laboratory workers: 57.3kgs

Analysis of BMI Difference between Males and Females

MEAN	MALE	FEMALE
Height	158.4	158.64
Weight	57.07	57.3
BMI	27.7	22.8

Mean BMI difference between male and female medical laboratory workers







Interpretation: The above diagram shows the mean of BMI of male medical laboratory workers 27.7 and female medical laboratory workers 22.8.

Analysis of Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (SNMQ)

"Medical laboratory workers screening Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire for work related musculoskeletal discomfort"

12 Months Prevalence

A. Have you at any time during the last 12 months had trouble? (Ache, Pain, Discomfort, Numbness)

NECK AND UPPER LIMB		
AREA OF MSD	N=300	PERCENTAGE
NECK	246	82%
SHOULDER	108	36%
ELBOW	48	16%
WRIST/HANDS	44	15%

Analysis of Neck and Upper Limb

Table no:5

Interpretation: Above table reveals majority of the sample shows, there is high prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was found on the neck region (82%). The other areas were found to be in less compared to neck. It was around shoulder (36%), Elbow (16%) and wrist/ hands (15%).





Analysis of Lower Limb

LOWER LIMB			
AREA OF MSD	N=300	PERCENTAGE	
ONE OR BOTH HIPS	23	8%	
ONE OR BOTH KNEES	28	9%	
ANKLES/FEET	20	7%	

Table no:6

Interpretation: In lower limb there is comparatively lower rate of prevalence are reported than upper limb. It was found to be around 8% in hips, knee around 9%% and 7% in ankle/feet.



Graph: 7 Lower Limb Prevalence

Anlaysis of Upper Back and Lower Back

UPPER BACK AND LOWER BACK			
AREA OF MSD	N=300	PERCENTAGE	
UPPER BACK	104	35%	
LOWER BACK 191 64%			

Table 7

Interpretation: Above table reveals majority of the sample shows, there is high prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was found on the lower back region (64%). And comparatively lower prevalence in upper back region (35%).



Graph: 8 Upper Back and Lower Back

BODY PART	PERCENTAGE %
NECK	82%
SHOULDER	36%
ELBOW	16%
WRIST/HANDS	15%
UPPER BACK	35%
LOWER BACK	64%
ONE OR BOTH HIPS	8%
ONE OR BOTH KNEES	9%
ANKLES/FEET	7%

Annual Prevalence of Nine Body Parts Musculoskeletal Problems

Table no:8

Interpretation: Above table reveals majority of the sample shows, there is high annual prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was found on the neck region (82%), followed by lower back (64%). The other areas were found to be in less compared to neck and lower back. It was around shoulder (36%), Elbow (16%), wrist/ hands (15%), Upper back (35%), one or both hips (8%), one or both knees (9%) and Ankles/feet (7%).



Graph 9: 12 Months Prevalence of WMSDS Medical Laboratory Workers

Weekly Prevalence of Nine Body Parts Musculoskeletal Problems

BODY PARTS	PERCENTAGE
NECK	51.66%
SHOULDER	6.66%
ELBOW	0%
WRIST/HANDS	0%
UPPER BACK	3.66%
LOWER BACK	32.33%
ONE OR BOTH HIPS	0%
ONE OR BOTH KNEES	0%
ANKLES/FEET	0%
Т	able no:9

Interpretation: Above table reveals majority of the sample shows, there is high weekly prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was found on the neck region (51.66%), followed by lower back (32.33%). The other areas were found to be in less compared to neck and lower back. It was around shoulder (6.66%), Elbow (0%), wrist/ hands (0%), Upper back (3.66%), one or both hips (0%), one or both knees (0%) and Ankles/feet (0%).



Have you had trouble at any time during the last days?

Graph:10: 7 Days Preavalence (Weekly Prevalence)

Results

Flow of participants

A total of 300 medical laboratory workers (127 males and 173 females) completed the validated Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (SNMQ) for screening work related musculoskeletal discomfort. Question was about the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal injuries among medical laboratory workers. The mean age, height, weight, and BMI of the 300 medical laboratory workers participated in the study are shown respectively (Table 6.2,6.3, and 6.4).

Influence of BMI (Body Mass Index) in Work Related Musculoskeletal Injuries

As shown in the Table 6.4 and Graph 5. The medical laboratory workers that were having work related musculoskeletal injuries their weight status was found to be overweight. So, for some extent the BMI also influence the prevalence of work-related injuries among medical laboratory workers.

12 Months Prevalence of WMSDS in Medical Laboratory Workers

The result show that the high annual prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was found on the neck region (82%), followed by lower back (64%). The other areas were found to be in less compared to neck and lower back. It was around shoulder (36%), Elbow (16%), wrist/ hands (15%), Upper back (35%), one or both hips (8%), one or both knees (9%) and Ankles/feet (7%).

7 Days Preavalence (Weekly Prevalence)

The result show that the high weekly prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders was found on the neck region (51.66%), followed by lower back (32.33%). The other areas were found to be in less compared to neck and lower back. It was around shoulder (6.66%), Elbow (0%), wrist/ hands (0%), Upper back (3.66%), one or both hips (0%), one or both knees (0%) and Ankles/feet (0%).

Discussion

The study was carried out to evaluate the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala population. This study was done on a sample of 300 medical laboratory workers on basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria. All subject were given the validated Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire (SNMQ). Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are a significant cause of physical limitation affecting humans around the globe. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders involve isolated or multiple problems in muscles, tendons, joint tissue, nerves, fascia and ligaments, with or without tissue degeneration, caused by work.[1]

The statistical data reveals that during the past one-year prevalence and seven days prevalence of workrelated musculoskeletal problems significantly higher among medical laboratory workers. This finding is in agreement with finding from several studies. The study found that among 300 medical laboratory workers, were 127 males and 173 females having work related musculoskeletal disorders. Medical laboratory workers with a BMI over 25 reported the highest prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal problems (Graph 5). In this study the medical laboratory workers that were having work related musculoskeletal problems their weight status was found to be overweight. So, for extends the BMI also influence the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal problems.

In this cross-sectional survey, a 12-month prevalence rate was observed on the neck region (82%), followed by lower back (64%). The other areas were found to be in less compared to neck and lower back. It was around shoulder (36%), Elbow (16%), wrist/ hands (15%), Upper back (35%), one or both hips (8%), one or both knees (9%) and Ankles/feet (7%). This study found that most common area which is more prone for work related injuries were neck (82%) and lower back (64%). They were more prone for discomfort and pain in medical laboratory workers. The neck and lower back region were the most common site for work related musculoskeletal injuries among medical laboratory workers in this study, followed by shoulder, elbow, wrist, upper back, hips, knees, and ankle region (Graph 9).

In this study 7 days prevalence rate was observed on the neck region (51.66%), followed by lower back (32.33%). The other areas were found to be in less compared to neck and lower back. It was around shoulder (6.66%), Elbow (0%), wrist/ hands (0%), Upper back (3.66%), one or both hips (0%), one or both knees (0%) and Ankles/feet (0%). The weekly prevalence is neck and lower back region were the most common site for work related musculoskeletal injuries among medical laboratory workers in this study.

Same result was found in previous studies that prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal injuries, the most common site was lower back region (35%) and neck region (29%), followed by shoulder (19%) and the upper back region (10%). The cause of the high incidence rate of low back and neck injuries among medical laboratory workers was use high backless stools with the lowest incidence occurring among those using the low stools with backrests. Also, there was a high incidence of WRMDs occurring in those respondents who reported being uncomfortable with their sitting platform at work with majority of them using high backless stools. This may imply that their sitting platforms could be a cause for their low back pain as majority of those respondents using high backless stools reported pain in the low back. And they have pain in their neck due to constant exposure to microscope, static neck postures for a long period of time.

The major musculoskeletal problems were found in this study from Standardized Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire for work related musculoskeletal discomfort. Questionnaire was divided into three parts, the first part collected the demographic data such as age, gender and also their duration of work, total hours spend during duty. This factor may contribute to develop WRMSDs. The second part we defined WRMSD as work related symptoms (pain, numbness, tingling, aching) that result from a work-related illness, excluding others injuries experienced during last one year this indicated chronicity of pain, other question was does this pain was the reason for you to prevent doing normal work (at home or away from home) this indicates sick leave. The last phase include pain in last seven days this indicates acute pain.[36]

Medical laboratory workers like doing pipetting work reported increased occurrence of pain related to neck, shoulder, elbow, back, and hand. Mechanism for work related musculoskeletal disorders involves fibrosis, tissue injury, recurrent cycle inflammation that further lead to recurrent pain, muscle diseases, and dysfunction of ligament and fascia.

This occupation which is mostly sedentary i.e., sitting job with static posture of the spine and other body parts for long periods of time. Microscopic works requires a lot of steady posture for examination of samples. Keeping the neck in steady position and simultaneous movements of arms to adjust the eye piece is the main demand of the job.

Static postures refer to physical exertion in which same position is held throughout the time. This exertion puts increased loads on the muscles and tendons which leads to fatigue. These situations often lead to overuse or repetitive syndromes; persistence of such symptoms thus becomes chronic. Therefore, these practicing professionals are at high risk for the development of MSDs of the neck, upper back, lower back, shoulders, and upper extremities related to cumulative trauma.[6]

Deepna. K, MAR Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychology (2024) 8:1

The lower back and neck were the most frequently affected body part by WRMDs. This could be due to their ergonomically unsuitable sitting positions, work platforms, frequent use of the microscope and computers. There was a very high incidence of WRMDs among those who use high backless stools with the lowest incidence occurring among those using the low stools with backrests. Also, there was a high incidence of WRMDs occurring in those respondents who reported being uncomfortable with their sitting platform at work with majority of them using high backless stools.[37]

Repetitive tasks, performing lots of procedures, insufficient breaks, over exertion and static positions were the most cited risk factors for WRMDs by the respondents. This suggests that tasks performed by the medical laboratory workers have a significant effect on the occurrence of WRMDs. Work-related musculoskeletal problems can indicate lack of awareness regarding the work-related ergonomics. This also indicates the need for enlightenment about proper management of WRMDs among Medical Laboratory workers.

Work related musculoskeletal problems (WRMSDs) are on a rise and are affecting the social lives of the people. There was strong evidence that High levels of static contraction, prolonged static loads, and awkward postures were associated with an increased risk for WRMSDs. So, the present study concluded that high prevalence of WRMDs among medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala Population with the neck and lower back most commonly affected irrespective of medical laboratory workers.

Limitations

- The study has been conducted on small size sample only.
- This study took shorter duration to complete.

Recommendations

- Ensure that all medical laboratory technicians know about the ergonomic risks/hazards that come from their workplaces, tasks, equipment and etc.
- Maintain constant supervision of medical laboratory workers activities regards getting the workspace set-up as the following:
 - Adjust the workstation height to the task you are carrying out.

- \circ $\,$ Use the swivel in your chair to decrease body twisting.
- Change working positions frequently.
- Always face the object of work.
- Keep your body close to your work.
- Keep trays and other supplies that you use frequently in close reach.
- Adjust the workstation or your chair to avoid working with your arms elevated.
- Take regular breaks and apply stretching exercise

Conclusion

The study concluded that high prevalence of WRMDs among medical laboratory professionals in North Kerala Population with the neck and lower back most commonly affected irrespective of medical laboratory workers.

References

- Kahraman T, Genç A, Göz E. The Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire: cross- cultural adaptation into Turkish assessing its psychometric properties. Disability and rehabilitation. 2016 Oct 8;38(21):2153-60.
- AlNekhilan AF, AlTamimi AM, AlAqeel BY, AlHawery AA, AlFadhel SF, Masuadi EM. Workrelated musculoskeletal disorders among clinical laboratory workers. Avicenna J Med. 2020 Jan;10(1):29.
- Yasobant S, Rajkumar P. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among health care professionals: A cross-sectional assessment of risk factors in a tertiary hospital, India. Indian J Occup Environ Med. 2014 May;18(2):75-81.
- Sirajudeen MS, Alaidarous M, Waly M, Alqahtani M. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among faculty members of college of Applied Medical Sciences, Majmaah University, Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study. Int J Health Sci (Qassim). 2018 Jul-Aug;12(4):18-25.

Deepna. K, (2024). Prevalence of work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Medical Laboratory Professionals in North Kerala Population: A Cross-Sectional Survey Method. *MAR Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychology (2024) 8:1*

- Amal Ali Mukhtad, Hager Abdulkareem Aminese, Mona Abdulrazig Mansor, etal. "Ergonomic risk assessment among healthcare laboratory technicians in benghazi medical centre. I J A R n D. 2018 March; 3 (3); 3I3-1243.
- Ganjave P, Shikrapurkar S. Prevalence of Neck Pain among Clinical Laboratory Technicians in Mumbai.Int Health Sci Res. 2019; 9(6): 117-122.
- 7. Da Costa BR, Vieira ER. Risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review of recent longitudinal studies. Am J Ind Med. 2010 Mar;53(3):285-323.
- Descatha A, Roquelaure Y, Chastang JF, Evanoff B, Melchior M, Mariot C, Ha C, Imbernon E, Goldberg M, Leclerc A. Validity of Nordic-style questionnaires in the surveillance of upper-limb work-related musculoskeletal disorders. Scand J Work Environ Health 2007 Feb;33(1):58.
- Kuorinka I, Jonsson B, Kilbom A, Vinterberg H, Biering-Sørensen F, Andersson G, Jørgensen K. Standardised Nordic questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms. Applied ergonomics. 1987 Sep 1;18(3):233-7.
- Park JK, Boyer J, Punnett L. Biomechanical Exposure to Upper Extremity Musculoskeletal Disorder Risk Factors in Hospital Laboratories. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Jan 3;19(1):499.
- 11. Memon SM. PREVALENCE OF WORK-RELATED MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS AMONG LABORATORY WORKERS (JPUMHS). 2021 Jun 30;11(2):92-6.
- Dr. Pranita D. Ganjave, Sucheta Shikrapurkar. Ergonomic Risk Assessment in Clinical Laboratory Technicians. EEO. (2021), [cited April 05, 2022]; 20(1): 5531- 5542. doi:10.17051/ilkonline.2021.01.586
- 13. Alwahaibi N, Al Sadairi M, Al Abri I, Al Rawahi S. Prevalence of laboratory- related musculoskeletal disorders among biomedical scientists. medRxiv. 2021 Jan 1.
- Boyacı H, Dündar Gİ, Senel IK. Laboratory employees' perception of occupational risk factors. Int. J. Med. Biochem.2021;4(2):61.
- 15. Anwar S, Batool S, Arif S. Frequency of Musculoskeletal Pain Among Biomedical Science Students Working in Laboratory: Musculoskeletal Pain Among Laboratory Students. Int J Ther Rehabil. 2021 Jun 30:11-7.

- 16. Morabito J, Penkala S, Coxon K. Workplace musculoskeletal problems in occupational therapy students. BMC Public Health. 2021 Dec;21(1):1-2.
- Rafeemanesh E, Khooei A, Niroumand S, Shirzadeh T. A study on musculoskeletal complaints and working postures in pathology specialists in Iran. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders. 2021 Dec;22(1):1-8.
- Tahira K, Junaid K, Ali H, Afzal S, Ayub RM, Khan A, Khan AA, Dawood M. Occupational Health Hazards and Needle Stick Injuries Among Medical Laboratory Workers. Annals of King Edward Medical University. 2020 Sep 30;26(2):391-6.
- Garcia E, Kundu I, Kelly M, Soles R, Mulder L, Talmon GA. The American Society for Clinical Pathology's job satisfaction, well-being, and burnout survey of laboratory professionals. 2020 Mar 9;153(4):470-86. Am. J. Clin. Pathol.
- 20. Sirajudeen MS, Alaidarous M, Waly M, Alqahtani M. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among faculty members of college of Applied Medical Sciences, Majmaah University, Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study. International journal of health sciences. 2018 Jul;12(4):18.
- 21. Hamid A, Ahmad AS, Dar S, Sohail S, Akram F, Qureshi MI. Ergonomics hazards and musculoskeletal disorders among workers of health care facilities. Current world environment. 2018;13(2).
- 22. Penkala S, El-Debal H, Coxon K. Work-related musculoskeletal problems related to laboratory training in university medical science students: a cross sectional survey. BMC public health. 2018 Dec;18(1):1-9.
- 23. Tella BA, Fapojuwo OA, Willliams OO. Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Nigerian Medical Laboratory Scientists Afecțiuni Musculoscheletale Specifice Locului De Muncă La Cercetătorii Medicali Laboranți Nigerieni.
- Patel H and Pandya U Prevalence of Neck Pain in Laboratory Technicians. J Nov Physiother April 2017 7:340. doi: 10.4172/2165-7025.
- 25. Holm JW, Mortensen OS, Gyntelberg F. Upper limb disorders among biomedical laboratory workers using pipettes. Cogent Medicine. 2016 Dec 31;3(1):1256849.

- 26. Seyedtaghi Mirmohammadi , Jamshid Yazdani. A cross-sectional study on work- related musculoskeletal disorders and associated risk factors among hospital health cares. Elsevier B.V. 2015.07.468
- Oladeinde BH, Ekejindu IM, Omoregie R, Aguh OD. Awareness and knowledge of ergonomics among Medical Laboratory Scientists in Nigeria. Annals of medical and health sciences research. 2015;5(6):423-7.
- 28. Parul Raj Agrawal, Arun G. Maiya, Veena Kamath. Work related musculoskeletal disorders among medical laboratory professionals: a narrative review. IJRMS. 2014 No 4. Vol 2.2320-6012.
- 29. Sadeghian F, Kasaeian A, Noroozi P, Vatani J, Hassan Taiebi S. Psychosocial and individual characteristics and musculoskeletal complaints among clinical laboratory workers. Int J Occup Saf Ergon .2014 Jan 1;20(2):355-61.
- Rajan D. Occupational hazards: A comparative study among medical laboratory technicians. Int J Res Appl Sci Eng Technol [Internet]. 2014;2(7):2231-0657.
- 31. Pedersen MT, Andersen CH, Zebis MK, Sjøgaard G, Andersen LL. Implementation of specific strength training among industrial laboratory technicians: long-term effects on back, neck and upper extremity pain. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders. 2013 Dec;14(1):1-1.
- 32. Jellad A, Lajili H, Boudokhane S, Migaou H, Maatallah S, Frih ZB. Musculoskeletal disorders among Tunisian hospital staff: Prevalence and risk factors. The Egyptian Rheumatologist. 2013 Apr 1;35(2):59-63.
- 33. Haile EL, Taye B, Hussen F. Ergonomic workstations and work-related musculoskeletal disorders in the clinical laboratory. Laboratory Medicine. 2012 Nov 1;43(suppl_2): e11-9.
- 34. George E. Occupational hazard for pathologists: microscope use and musculoskeletal disorders. Am.J. Clin. Pathol. 2010 Apr 1;133(4):543-8.
- 35. Choobineh A, Tourani S, Heidarian K, Gharahgozloo F. Ergonomic workstation evaluation in clinical laboratories of KUMS and its relationship to musculoskeletal problems and productivity. InProc 3rd International Cyberspace Conference on Ergonomics Cyb Erg 2002 2002 (p. 421À434).

- 36. Phadke Ss, Revati R, Iqbal R. Work Related Musculoskeletal Symptoms among Traffic Police: Cross Sectional Survey Using Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire. IJRRIS .2015;2(2):26-9.
- 37. Adegoke BO, Akodu AK, Oyeyemi AL. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among Nigerian physiotherapists. BMC musculoskeletal disorders. 2008 Dec;9(1):1-9.

