

Research Article

Profile of Autoimmune Hepatitis in a Tertiary Care Hospital-A Retrospective Study

Sachin Palnitkar^{1*}, Sanjana Bhagwat², Yash Kanani³, Chetan Oswal⁴

1,2,3,4. Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology Deenanath Mangeshkar Hospital, Pune.

*Correspondence to: Sachin Palnitkar, Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology Deenanath

Mangeshkar Hospital, Pune.

Copyright.

© 2024 **Sachin Palnitkar.** This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 15 July 2024 Published: 01 August 2024

Introduction

Autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) is an unsolved, unknown cause of hepatocellular inflammation categorised by the occurrence of periportal hepatitis or piecemeal necrosis on histology, liver associated autoantibodies in serum and hyper gamma globulinemia. (1) Though its etiology is unknown, its pathogenesis is partially based on abnormal autoreactivity. The classical phenotype have been categorised by serological markers, mainly antinuclear antibodies (ANA), smooth muscle antibodies (SMAs), and antibodies to liver kidney microsome type1 (anti-LKM1s), irrespective of association with anti-liver cytosol type 1 antibodies, hypergammaglobulinemia, increased serum immunoglobulin (IgG) levels, interface hepatitis on histological investigation, and corticosteroid therapy responsiveness. (2-4)

As limited data is available on epidemiology of AIH. Prevalence of AIH in Western and North America lies between 15 to 200 cases per 1 million people. (5) Still, AIH is considered rare in Asia due to major burden of chronic viral hepatitis. (6) Around 5% prevalence among all patients with chronic liver disease has been reported by Indian studies. (7-13) Clinically it differs from acute severe presentation, mild inflammatory, autoantibody negative disease and atypical histology and overlap syndromes.

Trials published in 1970 stated that AIH have been the first chronic liver disease to respond to corticosteroids. (14) Later, azathioprine and various other immunosuppressants has been shown to have significant result in changing the natural history of the disease. [15-17] In decompensated AIH associated cirrhosis, liver transplantation is the most effective treatment, with five year survival rates accounts from 83-92% and usually offered treatment in western countries. (18) Early diagnosis, appropriate treatment with immunosuppressants and management of cirrhosis forms the core of treatment in India. Among patients with chronic liver disease, liver transplantation as a treatment modality is low in India. (19) However as there is increasing awareness of AIH, data relating to long term outcome is limited. So our objective was to evaluate the profile of AIH and its treatment outcomes in patients.

Methodology

A retrospective study was conducted to assess the profile of AIH and its treatment outcomes in diagnosed long-term AIH patients from January 2017 to May 2023. Autoimmune hepatitis had been diagnosed according to the criteria of the International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group and categorized as being definite or probable.2 This study was approved by Institutional review board. Data was assessed from the records of patients who had been diagnosed and treated as autoimmune hepatitis during the mentioned period. 61 patients fulfilled the AIH International Study Group criteria and were included in the study. Patients who have not fulfilled the

International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group criteria were excluded.

Data such as demographic, sign & symptoms, co-morbidity, laboratory investigations, histological investigations, Antibody positivity, treatment outcomes, follow up duration, mortality and remission were collected. Laboratory investigations included Hb, TLC, platelet, creatinine, Total Bilirubin, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), ALP, Albumin, Globulin, PT and IgG. Serological tests for autoimmune autoantibodies included anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA), anti-smooth muscle antibodies (ASMA), anti-smooth muscle fibres and anti-liver kidney microsomal types I (anti LKM1) antibodies, both ANA & ASMA and AMA. Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) was tested in each patient. Histological parameters included portal infiltrates; liver necrosis, such as focal (spotty) lyticnecrosis and periportal or periseptal interface hepatitis (piecemeal necrosis); confluent necrosis; bridging necrosis and submassive necrosis. Treatment given was categorised in to immunosuppressant, steroids and others.

SPSS v. 20 was used for the statistical analysis. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used for Normal distribution of data. Categorical data were expressed in the form of frequencies and percentages, while continuous data as mean and standard deviation. For categorical data, chi-square and fisher exact test were applied as necessary while for continuous data, independent t- test or Mann Whitney test were performed on the basis of normal distribution of data. P < 0.001 was considered statistically significant.

Result

Demographic, clinical, biochemical profile, treatment and mortality of AIH -

The mean age of AIH patients was 48.49 ± 13.70 years while Type I AIH found to be the most common AIH type. Over all mean time for remission in AIH patients was to be 10.91 ± 5.664 months. Demographic, clinical, biochemical profile, treatment and mortality of AIH patients enrolled in the study is described in Table 1.

Symptoms and signs of AIH

Abdominal pain were the common symptom present in 27.8% patients followed by jaundice and vomiting in 5 patients. Rest symptoms described in table 2.

In signs of AIH, Icterus was the most common signs in 50.8% of patients followed by abdominal distension in 9 and weight loss in 6 patients. Signs of AIH presented in table 2.

Variables	Results	
AGE (Mean ± Standard Deviation)	48.49 ± 13.70	
Sex N (%)		
Female	45 (73.8%)	
Male	16 (26.2%)	
Type of AIH N (%)		
AIH 1	57 (93.4%)	
AIH 2	4 (6.6%)	
Laboratory Investigations (Mean ± Star	ndard Deviation)	
НВ	11.103 ± 1.788	
TLC	8792.31 ± 5899.861	
PLATELET	$220639.344 \pm 142195.761$	
CREATININE	0.8230 ± 0.84701	
TOTAL BILIRUBIN	9.6274 ± 9.91737	
ALT	244.21 ± 368.5289	
AST	278.59 ± 383.442	
ALP	156.79 ± 107.714	
ALBUMIN	3.1885 ± 0.68120	
GLOBULIN	3.3211 ± 1.10665	
PT	16.198 ± 4.4881	
IgG TOTAL	2040 ± 846.968	
HbsAg N (%)		
NEGATIVE	56 (91.8%)	
POSITIVE	5 (8.2%)	
ANA N (%)		
NEGATIVE	7 (11.5%)	
POSITIVE	54 (88.5%)	
ASMA N (%)		
NEGATIVE	31 (50.8%)	
POSITIVE	30 (49.2%)	
AMA N (%)		
NEGATIVE	60 (98.4%)	
POSITIVE	1 (1.6%)	
ANA + ASMA N (%)		
POSITIVE	19 (31.1%)	
NEGATIVE	42 (68.9%)	
TREATMENT Given N (%)		
IMMUNOSUPPRESSANT	6 (9.8%)	
OTHERS	22 (36.1%)	
STEROIDS	33 (54.1%)	

TREATMENT DISCONTINUATION N	(%)
NO	37 (60.7%)
YES	24 (39.3%)
REMISSION N (%)	
NO	26 (42.6%)
YES	35 (57.4)
TIME TO REMISSION (MONTHS)	10.91 ± 5.664
Mean \pm SD (N=35)	
History of Drug causing AIH N (%)	
Ayurvedic	3 (4.9%)
FLARE WITH STEROID PLUSE N (%)
NO	48 (78.7%)
YES	13 (21.3%)
DURATION OF FLU (MONTHS) (Mean ± Standard Deviation)	18.79 ± 15.544
Mortality N (%)	
NO	55 (90.2%)
YES	6 (9.8%)

Table 1- Demographic, clinical, biochemical profile, treatment and mortality of AIH patients

SYMPTOMS	Frequency	Percent
LOSS OF APPETITE	1	1.6
ABDOMEN PAIN	17	27.8
ANOREXIA	3	4.9
ASCITES	3	4.9
ASYMPTOMATIC	6	9.8
DERANGED LFT	1	1.6
DIARRHEA	1	1.6
DYSPNEA	1	1.6
ENCEPHALOPATHY	1	1.6
FEVER	3	4.9
HEMETEMESIS	1	1.6
ITCHING	2	3.3
JAUNDICE	5	8.2
LOOSE MOTION	3	4.9
LOOSE MOTION (SLE)	1	1.6
NAUSEA	2	3.3
VOMITING	5	8.2
Total	61	100.0

SIGNS	Frequency	Percent
ABDOMINAL DISTENSION	9	14.8
ASCITES	1	1.6
FEVER	1	1.6
FEVER WITH CHILLS	2	3.3
HEMATEMSIS	2	3.3
ICTERUS	31	50.8
JOINT SWELLING	1	1.6
MALENA	1	1.6
NA	1	1.6
PEDAL EDEMA	2	3.3
PR BLEED	2	3.3
UGI BLEED	1	1.6
WEIGHT LOSS	6	9.8
WEIGHT LOSS (SLE)	1	1.6
Total	61	100.0

Table 2- Symptoms and Signs of AIH patients

Comorbidity in AIH

Among the comorbidity, diabetes mellitus was found in 8 patients followed by 6 hypothyroidism, 5 combined DM + HTN, DM+ Hypothyroidism and HTN + Hypothyroidism in 2 patients while BRONCHIAL ASTHMA, DM + RHEUMOTOID ARTHRITIS, and HTN were seen in1 patients. (Table 3)

Comorbidity	Frequency	Percent
BRONCHIAL ASTHMA	1	1.6
DM	8	13.1
DM, HTN	5	8.2
DM, HYPOTHYROIDISM	2	3.3
DM, RHEUMOTOID ARTHRITIS	1	1.6
HTN	1	1.6
HTN, HYPOTHYROIDISM	2	3.3
HYPOTHYROIDISM	6	9.8
NA	35	57.4
Total	61	100.0

 Table 3- Comorbidity of AIH patients

Comparison of AIH patients with remission amongst demographic, clinical, biochemical profile, treatment and mortality-

We compared separately various factors like age, gender, Laboratory investigations, biochemical profile, treatment regimen, time to remission, duration of follow-up, treatment discontinuation, flare with steroid and mortality to determine whether any factor predicted remission (Table 4). However platelet count and flare with steroid found to be statistically significant with P<0.05. While in rest of the factors, no statistical difference was found.

	Remission		
	NO	YES	P VALUE
AGE			
Mean \pm SD	48.23 ± 16.00	48.68 ± 11.95	0.924**
SEX			
Female	20 (44.4%)	25 (55.6%)	0.620
Male	6 (37.5%)	10 (62.5%)	- 0.629¶
HB			
Mean \pm SD	11.17 ± 1.58	11.05 ± 1.94	0.78*
TLC			
Mean ± SD	7699.23 ± 4404.77	9604.3 ± 6750.39	0.127**
PLC			•
Mean ± SD	179461.53 ± 136623.345	$\begin{array}{r} 251228.57 \pm \\ 140345.600 \end{array}$	0.05*
Creatinine			
Mean ± SD	0.71 ± 0.335	0.90 ± 1.080	0.06**
Total Bilirubin			
Mean ± SD	7.47 ± 7.45	11.22 ± 11.25	0.354**
ALT			
Mean ± SD	243.11 ± 377.277	245.02 ± 367.435	0.793**
AST			•
Mean \pm SD	267.04 ± 342.386	287.17 ± 416.036	0.815**
ALP			•
Mean ± SD	140.5 ± 83.00	168.89 ± 122.70	0.493**
Albumin	·		
Mean ± SD	3.12 ± 0.667	3.23 ± 0.697	0.53*
Globulin	·	•	
Mean \pm SD	3.29 ± 1.201	3.33 ± 1.048	0.89*

РТ			
Mean \pm SD	16.315 ± 4.329	16.111 ± 4.663	0.55**
IgG			
Mean \pm SD	2113.13 ± 705.948	1966.87 ± 987.991	0.254**
ANA			
NEGATIVE	3 (42.9%)	4 (57.1%)	1.00#
POSITIVE	23 (42.6%)	31 (57.4%)	
ASMA			
NEGATIVE	14 (45.2%)	17 (54.8%)	0.684¶
POSITIVE	12 (40%)	18 (60%)	0.084*
ANA+ASMA			
NEGATIVE	19 (45.2%)	23 (54.8%)	0.539¶
POSITIVE	7 (36.8%)	12 (63.2%)	0.339
TREATMENT GIVEN			
IMMUNOSUPPRESSANT	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
OTHERS	13 (59.1%)	9 (40.9%)	0.09¶
STEROIDS	10 (30.3%)	23 (69.7%)	
Time to remission			
Mean \pm SD	12.17 ± 6.494	10.66 ± 5.570	0.581**
Duration of FLU			
Mean \pm SD	13.47 ± 14.035	21.28 ± 15.793	0.053**
TREATMENT DISCONTIN	UATION		
NO	15 (40.5%)	22 (59.5%)	0.683¶
YES	11 (45.8%)	13 (54.2%)	
FLARE WITH STEROID P	LUSE		
NO	25 (52.1%)	23 (47.9%)	0.004¶
YES	1 (7.7%)	12 (92.3%)	
MORTALITY			
NO	22 (40%)	33 (60%)	0.387 #
YES	4 (66.7%)	2 (33.3%)	

*- Independent T-Test, #- Fisher exact test, **- Mann Whitney test, ¶- Chi-square test

treatment and mortality

Reference

1. Johnson PJ, Mcfarlane IG. Meeting report: International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group. Hepatology 1993; 18:998–1005.

2. Alvarez F, Berg PA, Bianchi FB, Bianchi L, Burroughs AK, CancadoEL, et al. International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group Report:review of criteria for diagnosis of autoimmune hepatitis. J Hep-atol. 1999;31:929-38.2.

3. Hennes EM, Zeniya M, Czaja AJ, Parés A, Dalekos GN, KrawittEL, et al. Simplified criteria for the diagnosis of autoimmunehepatitis. Hepatology. 2008;48:169-76.3.

4. Manns MP, Czaja AJ, Gorham JD, Krawitt EL, Mieli-Vergani G, Vergani D, et al. Diagnosis and management of autoimmunehepatitis. Hepatology. 2010;51:2193-213.

5. Cancado ELR, Porta G. Autoimmune Hepatitis in South America. Kluwer Academic Publishers Dordrecht, Boston, London:2000:2–92.

6. Amarapurkar D, Patel ND. Autoimmune Hepatitis. 'LIVER: A Complete Book on Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Diseases Published by Elsevier pp 249–60.

7. Amarapurkar DN, Patel ND. Spectrum of autoimmune liver diseases in western India. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2007;22:2112–7.

8. Gupta R, Agarwal SR, Jain M, Makhotra V, Sarin SK. Autoimmune hepatitis in Indian subcontinent: 7 years' experience. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2001;16:1144–8

9. Somani SK, Baba CS, Choudhuri G. Autoimmune liver disease in India: is it uncommon or underdiagnosed? J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2002;17:A1054.

10. Jain M, Rawal KK, Sarin SK. Profile of autoimmune liver disease in India. Indian J. Gastroenterol. 1994;13:A85.

11. Gohar S, Desai D, Joshi A, Bhaduri A, Deshpande R, Balkrishna C, et al. Autoimmune hepatitis: a study of 50 patients. Indian J Gastroenterol. 2003;22:140–2.

12. Balakrishnan C, Mangat G, Kalke S, Desai D, Joshi A, Deshpande RB, et al. The spectrum of chronic autoimmune hepatitis. J. Assoc Physicians India. 1998;46:431–5.

13. Amarapurkar DN, Amarapurkar AD "Role of autoimmunity in nonviral chronic liver disease. J Assoc Physicians India. 2000:48:11:1064–9.

14. Cook GC, Mulligan R, Sherlock S. Controlled prospective trial of corticosteroid therapy in active chronic hepatitis. Q J Med. 1971;40:159–85.

15. Kanzler S, Lohr H, Gerken G, Galle PR, Lohse AW. Long term management and prognosis of autoimmune hepatitis (AIH); a single center experience. Z Gastroenterol. 2001;39:339–41,344-8.

16. Feld JJ, Dinh H, Arenovich T, Marcus VA, Wanless IR, Heathcote EJ. Autoimmune hepatitis: effect of symptoms and cirrhosis on natural history and outcome. Hepatology. 2005 Jul;42(1):53-62.

17. Johnson P, McFarlane I, Williams R. Azathioprine for long-term maintenance of remission in autoimmune hepatitis. N Engl J Med. 1995;333:958–63.

18. Strassburg CP, Manns MP. Treatment of Autoimmune Hepatitis. Semin Liver Dis. 2009;29:273-85.

19. Amarapurkar D, Dharod M, Amarapurkar A. Autoimmune hepatitis in India: single tertiary referral centre experience. Tropical Gastroenterology. 2015 Sep 19;36(1):36-45.



Medtronic